UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA DIVISION

ADAM	GRODEK,
	OILOD LIE,

Civil Action No.:

Plaintiff.

VS.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

WILLIAMS & FUDGE, INC.; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by Plaintiff, Adam Grodek, an individual consumer, against Defendant, Williams & Fudge, Inc., for violations of the law, including, but not limited to, violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1337. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that the Defendant transacts business here.

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, Adam Grodek, is a natural person with a permanent residence in McDonald, Bradley County, Tennessee 37353.
- 4. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, Williams & Fudge, Inc., is a corporation engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state and in several other states, with its principal place of business located at 300 Chatham Avenue, Rock Hill, York County, South Carolina 29731. The principal purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts in this state and several other states, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due to another.
- 5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

6. The debt that Defendant is attempting to collect on is an alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, whether or not such obligation has been reduced to judgment.

- 7. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, stated to Plaintiff that Defendant did not have to validate the alleged debt, further stating, "We're gonna sue you and you will be ruined for life."
- 8. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, attempted to communicate with Plaintiff at their place of employment after being informed that this inconvenienced Plaintiff and/or was conduct prohibited by Plaintiff's employer.
- 9. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant, in connection with the collection of the alleged debt, communicated with a third party Mary Galneder, who is not a co-signer on the alleged debt, and the communication was not in a manner covered by *§1692b* of the FDCPA.
- 10. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to unjustly condemn and vilify Plaintiff for his non-payment of the debt he allegedly owed.
- 11. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to produce an unpleasant and/or hostile situation between Defendant and Plaintiff.
- 12. The natural consequences of Defendant's statements and actions were to cause Plaintiff mental distress.

13. Defendant utilized unfair and unconscionable means to collect on Plaintiff's alleged debt, by lying to and misleading Plaintiff.

V. CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 14. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference to the foregoing paragraphs.
- 15. Defendants violated the FDCPA. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - (a) Defendant violated $\S1692c(a)(1)$ of the FDCPA by communicating at a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the Plaintiff; and
 - (b) Defendant violated $\S1692c(a)(3)$ of the FDCPA by communicating with the Plaintiff at the Plaintiff's place of employment when the Defendant knew or had reason to know that the Plaintiff's employer prohibits the Plaintiff from receiving such communication; and
 - (c) Defendant violated $\S1692c(b)$ of the FDCPA by communicating with a third party in connection with the collection of the alleged debt without the consent of the Plaintiff and the contact was not in a manner covered by $\S1692b$ of the FDCPA; and

- (d) Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
- (e) Defendant violated §1692d(2) of the FDCPA by using obscene or profane language or language the natural consequences of which is to abuse the hearer or reader in connection with the collection of an alleged debt; and
- (f) Defendant violated §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly and/or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass any person at the called number; and
- (g) Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of the alleged debt; and
- (h) Defendant violated $\S1692e(10)$ of the FDCPA by using false representation or deceptive means in connection with the collection the alleged debt; and

- (i) Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of an alleged debt.
- 16. Defendant's acts as described above were done intentionally with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 17. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff, Adam Grodek, for declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant, Williams & Fudge, Inc., for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA.
- B. Actual damages.
- C. Statutory damages.
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees.
- E. Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.
- F. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, Adam Grodek, demands trial by jury in this action.

DATED: October 2, 2013

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
By:/s/ Michael McNulty
Michael McNulty (TN BPR# 025974)
McNulty & Associates, PLLC
www.McNultyAssociatesTN.com
211 Printers Alley, Suite 400
Nashville, Tennessee 37201
615.829.8250
615.346.5208 fax
michael@mcnultyassociatestn.com
Attorney forPlaintiff,
Adam Grodek